# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

### AUG 1 8 2005

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 215851 Client Reference No. 20755-div2

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Ikemoto et al.

Art Unit: 1621

Application No. 10/086,076

Examiner: M. L. Shippen

Filed: February 28, 2002

For:

PRODUCTION METHOD OF CITALOPRAM,

INTERMEDIATE THEREFOR AND PRODUCTION

METHOD OF THE INTERMEDIATE

AMENDMENT, PETITION, AND FEE DELETING CORRECTLY NAMED PERSON WHO IS NOT INVENTOR OF INVENTION NOW BEING CLAIMED
- NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION - 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b)

Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Dear Sir:

- 1. This amendment and petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) is to delete Mr. Wei-Guo GAO, who was originally named as an inventor for this application and who is not an inventor of the invention now being claimed. This amendment and petition, signed by a party set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.33(b), to correct the inventorship of this application, identifies the aforementioned inventor as being the inventor to be deleted and hereby acknowledges that the aforementioned inventor's invention is no longer being claimed in this nonprovisional application.
  - 2. Fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) \$130.00)

Me	thod of Payment of Fees	
	Attached is a check in the amount of \$.	
$\boxtimes$	Charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216 in the amount of \$130.00 duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed for that purpose.)	(/
Z	10086076	

08/19/2005 LWONDIN1 00000003 121216 10086076

01 FC:1464

130.00 DA

In re Application of Ikemoto et al. Application No. 10/086,076

	zation to Charge Additional Fees	
$\boxtimes$	If any additional fees are owed in connection	with this communication
	please charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216.	(A duplicate copy of this
	communication is enclosed for that purpose.)	( <u>-</u>
Instruct	ions as to Overpayment	·
	Credit Account No. 12-1216,	•
	Refund	

John Kilyk, Jr., Reg No. 37,763 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900

180 North Stetson

Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780 (312) 616-5600 (telephone) (312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: March 1, 2004

Paper\_

Filed on behalf of Party By:

ikemoto

John Kilyk, Jr., Reg. No. 30,763 Bruce M. Gagala, Reg. No. 28,844 Christopher T. Griffith, Reg. No. 33,589 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900

Chicago, Illinois 60601 Telephone: (312) 616-5600 Facsimile: (312) 616-5700 Email: jkilyk@leydig.com

180 N. Stetson Avenue

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

HANS PETERSEN
and
MICHAEL HAROLD ROCK
(Application 09/794,755)

Junior Party

٧.

TETSUYA **IKEMOTO**, WEI-GUO GAO, and MASAMI IGI (Application 10/086,076)

Senior Party

Patent Interference No. 105,075

**IKEMOTO MOTION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP** 

Z.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<u>Page</u>
I.	STATEMENT RELIEF REQUESTED	1
II.	THE EVIDENCE RELIED UPON BY IKEMOTO	1
III.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	2
IV.	FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS WHY REQUESTED RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED	3
V	CONCLUSION	A

## I. STATEMENT RELIEF REQUESTED

Party Ikemoto moves in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.48(b) and 1.634 to correct inventorship of its Application No. 10/086,076 by deleting Mr. Wei-Guo Gao as a named inventor.

### II. THE EVIDENCE RELIED UPON BY IKEMOTO

EXHIBIT 1011 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney" submitted with application on February 28, 2002.

EXHIBIT 1012 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Preliminary Amendment" dated February 28, 2004.

EXHIBIT 1013 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Amendment, Petition and Fee Deleting Correctly Named Person Who Is Not Inventor of Invention Now Being Claimed – Nonprovisional Application – 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b)" dated April 15, 2002.

EXHIBIT 1014 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Office Action" (Restriction Requirement) dated May 30, 2002.

EXHIBIT 1015 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Response to Restriction Requirement" dated June 28, 2002.

EXHIBIT 1016 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Office Action" dated August 8, 2002.

EXHIBIT 1017 – Ikemoto Application No. 10/086,076, "Response to Office Action and Request for Interference" dated September 3, 2002.

### III. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

- Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076 is a divisional application of Application No. 09/654,768.
- 2. The specification and claims of Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076, as filed, consisted of a copy of Ikemoto's parent Application No. 09/654,768, which contained claims 1-20.
- 3. Concurrently with the filing of Application No. 10/086,076, Ikemoto filed a copy of the "Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney" from Ikemoto's parent Application No. 09/654,768, which correctly identified the following persons as inventors: Tetsuya IKEMOTO, Wei-Guo GAO, Nobuhiro ARAI, and Masami IGI (Exhibit 1011).
- 4. By way of the "Preliminary Amendment" filed concurrently with Application No. 10/086,076, Ikemoto canceled originally filed claims 8-16 and 18-20 and maintained claims 1-7 and 17 because claims 8-16 and 18-20 were pursued in the parent Application No. 09/654,768 and related divisional Application No. 09/996,134 and because claims 1-7 and 17 were canceled in response to a restriction requirement in the parent Application No. 09/654,768 (Exhibit 1012).
- 5. By way of the "Amendment, Petition, and Fee Deleting Correctly Named Person Who Is Not Inventor of Invention Now Being Claimed Nonprovisional Application 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b)," Ikemoto deleted Mr. Nobuhiro ARAI as an inventor in view of the claims canceled by way of the aforementioned "Preliminary Amendment" (Exhibit 1013).
- 6. As a result, Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076 currently names the following persons as inventors: Tetsuya IKEMOTO, Wei-Guo GAO, and Masami IGI.

- 7. A seven-way restriction requirement was made by the Patent Office during the prosecution of Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076 as between claims 1, 2, 3, 4–5, 6, 7, and 17 (Exhibit 1014).
- 8. In response to the restriction requirement, Ikemoto made an election of the invention of claim 17 without traverse (Exhibit 1015).
- 9. The Patent Office subsequently issued an "Office Action (Exhibit 1016), and Ikemoto canceled claims 1-7 as drawn to nonelected inventions and added new claims 21-28 by way of its "Response to Office Action" (Exhibit 1017).
- 10. At the time Ikemoto canceled claims 1-7 and added claims 21-28, Ikemoto inadvertently, and without deceptive intent, failed to appreciate that Mr. Wei-Guo Gao was a co-inventor as to nonelected inventions of the canceled claims, but was not a co-inventor as to the inventions of the remaining claims 17 and 21-28 (of which claim 17 is being canceled in accordance with the Board's directive recited in the "Order Setting Times" and "Correction to Order Setting Times," both dated December 23, 2003). Now, having appreciated the error, Ikemoto hereby promptly moves under 37 C.F.R. § 1.634 to correct inventorship pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b).

## IV. FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS WHY REQUESTED RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED

Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076 was filed with claims 1-20, which constituted the originally filed claims of the parent Application No. 09/654,768, and correctly named the same inventors as parent Application No. 09/654,768 for originally filed claims 1-20 (Ikemoto Facts 1-3). The currently pending claims of Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076 are the result of (a) the cancellation of originally filed claims

8-16 and 18-20 (which claims were pursued in the parent Application No. 09/654,768) and (b) the cancellation of originally filed claims 1-7 (which claims were cancelled in response to a restriction requirement in Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076) along with the addition of new claims 21-28 (Ikemoto Facts 4-9).

After originally filed claims 8-16 and 18-20 were cancelled, Ikemoto amended inventorship to comport the inventorship with the subject matter defined by the remaining claims (Ikemoto Facts 4-6). After originally filed claims 1-7 were cancelled (and new claims 21-28 were added) in response to the restriction requirement in Ikemoto's Application No. 10/086,076, Ikemoto inadvertently, and without deceptive intent, failed to appreciate that Mr. Wei-Guo Gao was a co-inventor as to nonelected subject matter of cancelled claims 1-7 but was not a co-inventor of subject matter of the remaining claims 17 and 21-28 (Ikemoto Facts 7-10).

Now, having appreciated its error, Ikemoto promptly moves to correct inventorship of its Application No. 10/086,076 by deleting Mr. Wei-Guo Gao as an inventor, thereby properly naming Mr. Tetsuya Ikemoto and Mr. Masami Igi as the only inventors of the subject matter defined by the currently pending claims.

### V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Ikemoto submits that its Motion to Correct Inventorship should be granted and therefore submits and requests entry of the accompanying "Amendment, Petition, and Fee Deleting Correctly Named Person Who Is Not Inventor of Invention Now Being Claimed – Nonprovisional Application – 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b)" effecting the deletion of Mr. Wei-Guo Gao as a co-inventor in its Application No. 10/086,076.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 1, 2004

John Kilyk, Jr. Reg No. 30 76

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this IKEMOTO MOTION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP has been forwarded by Federal Express on March 1, 2004, to:

### Attorney for PETERSEN:

S. Peter Ludwig, Esq. Robert Schaffer, Esq. DARBY & DARBY, P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022

#### CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

I hereby certify that this IKEMOTO MOTION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP has been forwarded by Federal Express on March 1, 2004, Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Crystal Gateway 2, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, 1225 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

Invoice Number: 1-631-56566 Invoice Date: Mar 11, 2004

Mar 11, 2004 0606-1113-0

Account Number: Page:

9 of 37

## FedEx Express Shipment Detail By Payor Type (Original)

Dropped off: Mar 01, 2004		Payor: Shipper	Reference: 225207/TAK		
<ul> <li>Distance Base</li> </ul>	ed Pricing, Zone 4	turcharge of 6,50% to this shipment. It packages, weight, and service. Any ch	auges made are reflected in the invoice amount.		
Service Type Package Type Zona Packages	790565200346 FedEx Priority Overnight FedEx Pak 4	Sender Rick Madsen Leydig voit & Mayer 180 n Stetson ave Ste 4900 Chicago il 60801-6731 US	<u>Recipient</u> Bioard of Patent Appeals And Interference Crystal Gateway Two, 10th Fl Arlington VA 22202 US	00	
Delivered Svc Area	2.0 lbs. 0.9 kgs Mar 02, 2004 10:10 A1 Y.PRATKER	Transportation Charge Discount Fuel Surcharge			25.50 -6.12 1.26
FedEx Use	539698350/01530/_	Total Charge	•	usos	20.64

Paper 69

Filed by:

Motions Panel
Interference Trial Section
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Mail Stop Interference
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tel: 571-272-9797 Fax: 571-273-0042 Filed:

January 10, 2005

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

HANS PETERSEN and MICHAEL HAROLD ROCK (Application 09/794,755)

Junior Party,

٧.

TETSUYA IKEMOTO, WEI-GUO GAO, and MASAMI IGI (Application 10/086,076)

Senior Party

Patent Interference 105,075

Before McKELVEY, <u>Senior Administrative Patent Judge</u>, SCHAFER, and MOORE, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Judgment - Merits - Bd.R. 127

Upon consideration of Petersen Preliminary Motions 1-3, Petersen Miscellaneous Motion 4, Ikemoto Preliminary Motions 1-3, Ikemoto Motion to Correct Inventorship, and for the reasons given in the DECISION ON PRELIMINARY MOTIONS (Papers 67 and 68), it is:

ORDERED that Petersen Preliminary Motions I-3 and Miscellaneous Motion 4 (Papers 23, 24, 25, and 26) are dismissed.

FURTHER ORDERED that Ikemoto Motion to Correct Inventorship (Paper 46) is granted.

FURTHER ORDERED that Ikemoto Preliminary Motion 1 (Paper 29) is dismissed.

FURTHER ORDERED that Ikemoto Preliminary Motion 2 (Paper 50) is denied.

FURTHER ORDERED that Ikemoto Preliminary Motion 3 (Paper 51) is granted.

FURTHER ORDERED that Petersen is not entitled to a patent containing

Petersen claims 6 and 7 of involved Petersen application 09/794,755, filed February 26,

2001.

FURTHER ORDERED that entry of this judgment constitutes a final decision in this interference [37 CFR §41.2--definition of "final"—reprinted in 69 Fed. Reg. at 50003 (Aug. 12, 2004)].

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of each DECISION ON PRELIMINARY MOTIONS (Papers 67 and 68) and this JUDGMENT shall be placed in the file of (1) application 09/794,755 and (2) application 10/086,076.

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. §135(c) and 37 CFR §41.205, reprinted in 69 Fed. Reg. at 50019 (Aug. 12, 2004).

mex	)
FRED E. McKELVEY	
Senior Administrative Patent Judge	)
RESLA	) ) ) BOARD OF PATENT
RICHARD E. SCHAFER	) APPEALS
Administrative Patent Judge	) AND ) INTERFERENCES
Cam 20 Man	)
JAMES T. MOØRE	)
Administrative Patent Judge	)

Date: January 10, 2005 Alexandria, VA Interference 105,075

Paper 69

cc (via fax and mail):

Attorney for PETERSEN:

S. Peter Ludwig DARBY & DARBY, P.C. 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Fax: 212-753-6237

Attorney for IKEMOTO:

John Kilyk Jr., Esq. LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900 180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780 Fax: 312-616-5700